# Chapter 1: Functions on Euclidian Space

Notes on Spivak by Patch Kessler

December 29, 2018

These notes and observations document my progression through Michael Spivak's Calculus on Manifolds<sup>1</sup>. The idea is to document my learning in beautiful Tufte-IATEX style documents. Spivak's text is black, while all of my own writing is blue.

## Norm and Inner Product

Euclidean *n*-space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is defined as the set of all *n*-tuples  $(x^1, ..., x^n)$  of real numbers  $x^i$  (a "1-tuple of numbers" is just a number and  $\mathbb{R}^1 = \mathbb{R}$ , the set of all real numbers). An element of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is often called a point in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $\mathbb{R}^1$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^3$  are often called the line, the plane, and space respectively. If *x* denotes an element of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then *x* is an *n*-tuple of numbers, the *i*<sup>th</sup> one of which is denoted  $x^i$ ; thus we can write

$$x = (x^1, ..., x^n).$$

A point in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is frequently also called a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , because  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , with  $x + y = (x^1 + y^1, ..., x^n + y^n)$  and  $ax = (ax^1, ..., ax^n)$ , as operations, *is* a vector space (over the real numbers, of dimension *n*). In this vector space there is the notion of the length of a vector *x*, usually called the **norm** |x| of *x* and defined by  $|x| = \sqrt{(x^1)^2 + \cdots + (x^n)^2}$ . If n = 1, then |x| is the usual absolute value of *x*. The relation between the norm and the vector space structure of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is very important.

I often use bold characters for vectors, and deal with them as columns.

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}$$

This enables familiar mechanics and thought processes from linear algebra. I use subscripts rather than superscripts.

<sup>1</sup> Michael Spivak. *Calculus on Manifolds*. Benjamin Cummings, 1965. ISBN 0846590219 **1-1 Theorem.** If  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , then

- (1)  $|x| \ge 0$ , and |x| = 0 if and only if x = 0.
- (2)  $|\sum_{i=1}^{n} x^{i} y^{i}| \le |x| \cdot |y|$ ; equality holds if and only if x and y are linearly dependent.
- (3)  $|x+y| \le |x|+|y|$ .
- (4)  $|ax| = |a| \cdot |x|$ .

#### Proof

(1) is left to the reader.

 $|\mathbf{x}| \ge 0$ 

The real number |x| is constructed by a process, the final step of which is a mapping by the square root function. The square root function returns values on  $[0, \infty)$ .  $\Box$ 

 $|\mathbf{x}| = 0$  if and only if  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ 

If  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ , then each  $x_i$  is zero, each  $x_i^2$  is zero, the sum of these is zero, and the square root of this sum is zero. Conversely if  $|\mathbf{x}| = 0$  then  $x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2 = 0$  by the definition of  $\sqrt{-}$ . The  $x_i^2$  terms are non-negative, and so if any of them are positive, then the sum of them is positive. But the sum is not positive, and so none of the  $x_i^2$  terms are positive. None of them are negative either, so they must all be zero. If  $x_i^2$  is zero then  $x_i$  is zero, and if all the  $x_i$ 's are zero then  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ .  $\Box$ 

(2) If *x* and *y* are linearly dependent, equality clearly holds. If not, then  $\lambda y - x \neq 0$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , so

$$0 < |\lambda y - x|^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\lambda y^{i} - x^{i})^{2}$$
  
=  $\lambda^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y^{i})^{2} - 2\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n} x^{i} y^{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x^{i})^{2}.$ 

Therefore the right side is a quadratic equation in  $\lambda$  with no real solution, and its discriminant must be negative. Thus

$$4\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x^{i}y^{i}\right)^{2} - 4\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x^{i})^{2} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y^{i})^{2} < 0.$$
(3)  $|x+y|^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x^{i}+y^{i})^{2}$ 

$$= \sum_{I=1}^{n} (x^{i})^{2} + \sum_{I=1}^{n} (y^{i})^{2} + 2\sum_{I=1}^{n} x^{i}y^{i}$$

$$\leq |x|^{2} + |y|^{2} + 2|x| \cdot |y| \quad \text{by (2)}$$

$$= (|x| + |y|)^{2}.$$

(4) 
$$|ax| = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (ax^i)^2} = \sqrt{a^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x^i)^2} = |a| \cdot |x|.$$

The quantity  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x^{i}y^{i}$  which appears in (2) is called the **inner product** of *x* and *y* and denoted  $\langle x, y \rangle$ . The most important properties of the inner product are the following.

**1-2 Theorem.** If x,  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$  and y,  $y_1$ ,  $y_2$  are vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $z \in \mathbb{R}$ , then

- (1)  $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle y, x \rangle$  (symmetry). (2)  $\langle ax, y \rangle = \langle x, ay \rangle = a \langle x, y \rangle$  (bilinearity).  $\langle x_1 + x_2, y \rangle = \langle x_1, y \rangle + \langle x_2, y \rangle$
- $\langle x, y_1 + y_2 \rangle = \langle x, y_1 \rangle + \langle x, y_2 \rangle$ (3)  $\langle x, x \rangle \ge 0$ , and  $\langle x, x \rangle = 0$  if and (positive definiteness). only if x = 0

(4) 
$$|x| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}.$$

(5)  $4\langle x, y \rangle = |x + y|^2 - |x - y|^2$  (polarization identity).

### Proof

- (1)  $\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x^{i} y^{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} y^{i} x^{i} = \langle y, x \rangle.$
- (2) By (1) it suffices to prove

$$\langle ax, y \rangle = a \langle x, y \rangle,$$
  
 $\langle x_1 + x_2, y \rangle = \langle x_1, y \rangle + \langle x_2, y \rangle$ 

These follow from the equations

$$\langle ax, y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (ax^{i})y^{i} = a \sum_{i=1}^{n} x^{i}y^{i} = a \langle x, y \rangle,$$
$$\langle x_{1} + x_{2}, y \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{1}^{i} + x_{2}^{i})y^{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{1}^{i}y^{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{2}^{i}y^{i}$$
$$= \langle x_{1}, y \rangle + \langle x_{2}, y \rangle.$$

(3) is left to the reader.

 $\langle x, x \rangle$  is the sum of non-negative terms  $x_i^2$ , and so  $\langle x, x \rangle \ge 0$ . If x = 0, then from (1) of Theorem 1-1 we know that |x| = 0, and from (4) that  $\langle x, x \rangle = 0$ . Conversely if  $\langle x, x \rangle = 0$ , then |x| = 0 from (4), and from (1) of Theorem 1-1 we know that x = 0.  $\Box$ 

(4) is left to the reader.

Expand  $\langle x, x \rangle$  according to its definition, and take the square root, to get  $\sqrt{x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2}$ . This is the definition of |x|.  $\Box$ 

(5) 
$$|x+y|^2 - |x-y|^2$$
  

$$= \langle x+y, x+y \rangle - \langle x-y, x-y \rangle \text{ by (4)}$$

$$= \langle x, x \rangle + 2 \langle x, y \rangle + \langle y, y \rangle - (\langle x, x \rangle - 2 \langle x, y \rangle + \langle y, y \rangle)$$

$$= 4 \langle x, y \rangle. \square$$

We conclude this section with some important remarks about notation. The vector (0, ..., 0) will usually be denoted simply 0. The **usual basis** of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is  $e_1, ..., e_n$ , where  $e_i = (0, ..., 1, ..., 0)$ , with the 1 in the *i*th place. If  $T : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$  is a linear transformation, the matrix of T with respect to the usual bases of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathbb{R}^m$  is the  $m \times n$  matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$ , where  $T(e_i) = \sum_{j=1}^m a_{jj}e_j$  - the coefficients of  $T(e_i)$  appear in the *i*th column of the matrix. If  $S : \mathbb{R}^m \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^p$  has the  $p \times m$  matrix B, then  $S \circ T$  has the  $p \times n$  matrix BA [here  $S \circ T(x) = S(T(x))$ ; most books on linear algebra denote  $S \circ T$  simply ST]. To find T(x) one computes the  $m \times 1$  matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} y^1 \\ \vdots \\ y^m \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}, & \dots & , a_{1n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{m1}, & \dots & , a_{mn} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x^1 \\ \vdots \\ x^m \end{pmatrix};$$

then  $T(x) = (y^1, ..., y^m)$ . One notational convention greatly simplifies many formulas: if  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , then (x, y) denotes

$$(x^1, ..., x^n, y^1, ..., y^m) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m}.$$

Again, my thoughts are that it's simpler to deal with everything as columns in the first place. Also, for a clean and rigorous introduction to linear algebra, I recommend Linear Algebra Done Right<sup>2</sup>.

#### Problems

**1-1.** Prove that  $|x| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} |x^{i}|$ . We can show this by writing **x** with respect to the **e**<sub>*i*</sub> basis.

$$\mathbf{x} = x_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + x_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + \dots + x_n \mathbf{e}_n$$

From Theorem 1-1 we know that  $|x_i \mathbf{e}_i| = |x_i| \cdot |\mathbf{e}_i| = |x_i|$ , and we also have the triangle inequality, which we now apply repeatedly

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x} &| = |x_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + x_2 \mathbf{e}_2 + x_3 \mathbf{e}_3 + \dots + x_n \mathbf{e}_n| \\ &\leq |x_1| + |x_2 \mathbf{e}_2 + x_3 \mathbf{e}_3 + \dots + x_n \mathbf{e}_n| \\ &\leq |x_1| + |x_2| + |x_3 \mathbf{e}_3 + \dots + x_n \mathbf{e}_n| \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq |x_1| + |x_2| + |x_3| + \dots + |x_n| \end{aligned}$$

<sup>2</sup> Sheldon Axler. *Linear Algebra Done Right*. Springer, 1996. ISBN 0387982582 **1-2.** When does equality hold in Theorem 1-1 (3)? *Hint*: Re-examine the proof; the answer is not "when *x* and *y* are linearly dependent." When does  $|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}| = |\mathbf{x}| + |\mathbf{y}|$ ?

Start by writing **y** as  $\alpha \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{e}$ , where  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and **e** is orthogonal to **x**. Note that  $|\mathbf{y}|^2 = \alpha^2 |\mathbf{x}|^2 + |\mathbf{e}|^2$ , and so

$$|\mathbf{y}| \ge \alpha |\mathbf{x}|,\tag{1}$$

with equality when  $\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{0}$  and  $\alpha > 0$ .  $\mathbf{e} = \mathbf{0}$  is another way of saying that **x** and **y** are parallel, while  $\alpha > 0$  means that both vectors point in the same direction. Note that

$$|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}|^2 = (1 + \alpha^2)|\mathbf{x}|^2 + |\mathbf{e}|^2 + 2\alpha|\mathbf{x}|^2,$$
 (2)

while

$$(|\mathbf{x}| + |\mathbf{y}|)^2 = (1 + \alpha^2)|\mathbf{x}|^2 + |\mathbf{e}|^2 + 2|\mathbf{x}||\mathbf{y}|.$$
 (3)

Combining (1), (2), and (3), we see that

$$|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}|^2 \le (|\mathbf{x}| + |\mathbf{y}|)^2,$$
 (4)

with equality under the same conditions as in (1). If  $a, b \ge 0$  and  $a^2 \le b^2$ , then  $a \le b$ , and so (4) implies the triangle inequality

$$|\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{y}| \le |\mathbf{x}| + |\mathbf{y}|. \tag{5}$$

We get equality under the same conditions as (1), namely when x and y are parallel and pointing in the same direction. This proof of the triangle inequality (i.e., (3) from Theorem 1-1) is slightly different from Spivak, making it easier to understand the conditions under which equality holds.

**1-3.** Prove that  $|x - y| \le |x| + |y|$ . When does this equality hold? This follows immediately from (5) in the previous exercise- simply replace **y** with  $-\mathbf{y}$ . We get equality when **x** and **y** are parallel and pointing in *opposite* directions.

**1-4.** Prove that  $||x| - |y|| \le |x - y|$ . From (2) in Theorem 1-1, we know that  $|\langle x, y \rangle| \le |x||y|$ . From this we get  $\langle x, y \rangle \le |x||y|$ , as well as  $-|x||y| \le -\langle x, y \rangle$ . Using this, we see that

$$\langle \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \rangle = |\mathbf{x}|^2 + |\mathbf{y}|^2 - 2\langle \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \rangle$$

$$\geq |\mathbf{x}|^2 + |\mathbf{y}|^2 - 2|\mathbf{x}||\mathbf{y}|$$

$$= (|\mathbf{x}| - |\mathbf{y}|)^2$$

Thus  $|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|^2 \ge (|\mathbf{x}| - |\mathbf{y}|)^2$ , which implies  $|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}| \ge ||\mathbf{x}| - |\mathbf{y}||$ .

**1-5.** The quantity |y - x| is called the **distance** between *x* and *y*. Prove and interpret geometrically the "triangle inequality":  $|z - x| \le |z - y| + |y - x|$ .

From Problem 1-3, we know that  $|\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}| \leq |\mathbf{u}| + |\mathbf{v}|$ . Substituting in  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{z} - \mathbf{y}$  and  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}$  gives the desired result. A geometrical interpretation is to think of  $\mathbf{x}$ ,  $\mathbf{y}$ , and  $\mathbf{z}$  as the vertices of a triangle. The inequality establishes that the length of one side is always less than or equal to the sum of the lengths of the other two sides.

**1-6.** Let f and g be integrable on [a, b].

(a) Prove that  $|\int_a^b f \cdot g| \leq (\int_a^b f^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot (\int_a^b g^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . Hint: Consider separately the cases  $0 = \int_a^b (f - \lambda g)^2$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $0 < \int_a^b (f - \lambda g)^2$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .

If we had established (2) in Theorem 1-1 for abstract vector spaces, we could prove this result by showing that the integrable functions on [a, b] form a vector space, and that  $\int_a^b f \cdot g$  is an inner product on this space. Instead of this, we stick with the scope of Spivak's development and follow his hint.

We wish to show

$$|\int_{a}^{b} fg| \le (\int_{a}^{b} f^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot (\int_{a}^{b} g^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
 (6)

Let  $F(\lambda) = \int_a^b (f - \lambda g)^2$ . Note that  $F(\lambda) \ge 0$ , because the integrand is never negative ( $\bigstar$ ). Also, note that  $F(\lambda) = A\lambda^2 + B\lambda + C$ , where

$$A = \int_{a}^{b} g^{2}, \quad B = -2 \int_{a}^{b} fg, \text{ and } C = \int_{a}^{b} f^{2}.$$

If A = 0, then because of  $(\bigstar)$ , we also have B = 0, and (6) is satisfied with both sides equal to zero.

If  $A \neq 0$  and *F* has no roots, then the discriminant  $B^2 - 4AC$  is negative. Substituting in terms, we get

$$4(\int_{a}^{b} fg)^{2} < 4(\int_{a}^{b} f^{2})(\int_{a}^{b} g^{2}),$$

which leads to (6) as a strict inequality

If  $A \neq 0$  and F = 0 for some  $\lambda$ , then from  $(\bigstar)$  we know that  $F_{\min} = 0$  as well. Because A > 0,  $F_{\min}$  is well defined, with value

$$F_{\min}=F(-\frac{B}{2A}).$$

Setting this equal to zero, we obtain  $B^2 = 4AC$ , which leads to (6) as an equality.

(b) If equality holds, must  $f = \lambda g$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ? What if f and g are continuous?

From (a), we know that equality holds if  $\int_a^b g^2 = 0$ . Because of this,  $f = \lambda g$  is **not** necessary for equality, even if f and g are continuous. For instance, let  $f = \sin x$ ,  $\lambda = 27$ , and g = 0.

If we restrict ourselves to  $\int_a^b g^2 > 0$ , then from (a) we know that equality holds if  $\int_a^b (f - \lambda g)^2 = 0$  for some  $\lambda$ .

These different cases can be combined into the single condition of *linear dependence*. For f(x) and g(x) to be linearly dependent, some linear combination of them must equal zero. That is, we must be able to find  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\lambda_1 f + \lambda_2 g = 0. \tag{7}$$

The condition we require is  $\int_a^b (\lambda_1 f + \lambda_2 g)^2 = 0$ . This is weaker than (7) because the integrand can be non zero at discrete points. This distinction goes away if *f* and *g* are continuous.

(c) Show that Theorem 1-1 (2) is a special case of (a).

Theorem 1-1 (2) is that  $|\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle| \le |\mathbf{u}| |\mathbf{v}|$ , with equality if and only if **u** and **v** are linearly dependent.

To get this from our work here, let f and g be piecewise constant, with values that equal the components of vectors  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . For instance, let  $f(x) = u_i$  and  $g(x) = v_i$  for  $x \in (i - 1, i)$ . This construction gives us

$$\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = \int_0^n f(x) \cdot g(x) \, dx.$$

The desired result  $|\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle| \le |\mathbf{u}| |\mathbf{v}|$  then follows from (6). From (b), equality occurs when  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{v}$  are linearly dependent.

**1-7.** A linear transformation  $T : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is **norm preserving** if |T(x)| = |x|, and **inner product preserving** if  $\langle Tx, Ty \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle$ .

(a) Prove that *T* is norm preserving if and only if *T* is inner product preserving.

If *T* is norm preserving then *T* preserves inner products.

$$\langle Tx, Ty \rangle = \frac{1}{4}(|Tx + Ty|^2 - |Tx - Ty|^2)$$
(polarization identity)  
$$= \frac{1}{4}(|T(x + y)|^2 - |T(x - y)|^2)$$
(linearity)  
$$= \frac{1}{4}(|x + y|^2 - |x - y|^2)$$
(norm preserving)  
$$= \langle x, y \rangle$$
(polarization identity)

Conversely, if *T* preserves inner products, then

$$|Tx| = \sqrt{\langle Tx, Ty \rangle} = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle} = |x|,$$

and so *T* is norm preserving.

(b) Prove that such a linear transformation T is 1-1 and  $T^{-1}$  is of the same sort.

*T* is 1-1 if  $x \neq y$  implies  $Tx \neq Ty$ . This is equivalent to Tx = Ty implying x = y, which we now show.

$$Tx = Ty \Longrightarrow T(x - y) = 0$$
$$\implies |T(x - y)| = 0$$
$$\implies |x - y| = 0$$
$$\implies x - y = 0$$
$$\implies x = y$$

We've used the linearity of *T*, the fact that  $|\mathbf{u}| = 0$  if and only if  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$  (from Theorem 1-1), as well as the hypothesis that *T* is norm preserving.

The inverse mapping  $T^{-1}$  satisfies  $T(T^{-1}x) = x$ . As a result,

 $T^{-1}x = T^{-1}y \Longrightarrow T(T^{-1}x) = T(T^{-1}y) \Longrightarrow x = y,$ 

and so  $T^{-1}$  is 1-1 as desired. The question of whether  $T^{-1}$  exists in the first place follows from linear algebra. If  $T \in L(V, W)$ , then

 $\dim V = \dim \operatorname{null} T + \dim \operatorname{range} T.$ 

In our case, dim V = n, and because T is norm preserving, dim null T = 0. It follows that dim range T = n, and so T is onto. We already know that T is 1-1, and so it follows that T is invertible.

**1-8.** If  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  are non-zero, the **angle** between x and y, denoted  $\angle(x, y)$ , is defined as arccos  $(\langle x, y \rangle / |x| \cdot |y|)$ , which makes sense by Theorem 1-1 (2). The linear transformation T is **angle preserving** if T is 1-1, and for  $x, y \neq 0$  we have  $\angle(Tx, Ty) = \angle(x, y)$ .

(a) Prove that if *T* is norm preserving, then *T* is angle preserving.

If T is norm preserving, then from the previous problem T is also inner product preserving. It follows that T is angle preserving, because the angle is defined in terms of norms and inner products.

(b) If there is a basis  $x_1, ..., x_n$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and numbers  $\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_n$  such that  $Tx_i = \lambda_i x_i$ , prove that *T* is angle preserving if and only if all  $|\lambda_i|$  are equal.

Let A(a, b) be the inner product of the unit vectors pointing in the directions of *a* and *b*.

$$A(a,b) = \frac{\langle a,b\rangle}{|a||b|}$$

Note that  $\angle(a, b) = \arccos(A(a, b))$ , and that  $\angle(a, b) = \angle(c, d)$  if and only if A(a, b) = A(c, d). From the polarization identity

$$4\langle x+y, x-y\rangle = |x|^2 - |y|^2,$$

we have

$$A(x+y, x-y) = \frac{|x|^2 - |y|^2}{4|x+y||x-y|}$$

Let  $e_i$  be the unit vector in the direction of each  $x_i$ , (i.e.,  $e_i = \frac{x_i}{|x_i|}$ ). Then  $|e_i| = 1$ , and so

$$A(e_i + e_j, e_i - e_j) = \frac{|e_i|^2 - |e_j|^2}{4|e_i + e_j||e_i - e_j|} = 0.$$

However, we also have  $Te_i = \lambda_i e_i$ , and so if  $|\lambda_i| \neq |\lambda_j|$ , then

$$A(T(e_i + e_j), T(e_i - e_j)) = \frac{|\lambda_i|^2 - |\lambda_j|^2}{4|\lambda_i e_i + \lambda_j e_j||\lambda_i e_i - \lambda_j e_j|} \neq 0$$

Thus  $|\lambda_i| \neq |\lambda_j|$  causes *T* to not be angle preserving.

The other direction as stated is **false**. That is, all  $|\lambda_i|$ 's being equal does not make *T* angle preserving. For instance, consider  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , with basis given by  $x_1 = [1 \ 0]^T$  and  $x_2 = [1 \ 1]^T$ . Suppose  $Tx_1 = x_1$ , and  $Tx_2 = -x_2$ . It's easy to check that  $\angle(x_1, x_2) = \pi/4$ , while  $\angle(Tx_1, Tx_2) = 3\pi/4$ .

For the other direction to be true, we need all the  $\lambda_i$  to be equal (not just their absolute values). If all the  $\lambda_i$  are equal, say to  $\lambda$ , then for any vector  $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$  we have  $Ta = \lambda a$ . As a result, for any two vectors  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have

$$A(Ta,Tb) = \frac{\langle Ta,Tb\rangle}{|Ta||Tb|} = \frac{\langle \lambda a,\lambda b\rangle}{|\lambda a||\lambda b|} = \frac{\lambda^2}{|\lambda|^2} \cdot \frac{\langle a,b\rangle}{|a||b|} = A(a,b),$$

which establishes that T is angle preserving.

(c) What are all angle preserving  $T : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ ?

*T* is angle preserving if and only if there are orthonormal bases  $\{e_i\}$  and  $\{u_i\}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and some positive  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  such that for all *i* 

$$Te_i = \lambda u_i. \tag{8}$$

Let *T* be angle preserving and let  $\{e_i\}$  be any orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $\lambda_i = |Te_i|$  and let  $u_i$  be the unit vector in the direction of  $Te_i$ . All the  $\lambda_i$ 's are positive, and so we know from the same argument that we used in our answer to (b) that all the  $\lambda_i$ 's are equal. The orthogonality of the  $u_i$ 's is immediate, because if  $u_i$  and  $u_j$  are not orthogonal, then  $A(u_i, u_j) \neq 0$ . However  $A(e_i, e_j) = 0$ , and so this violates the hypothesis on *T*. Thus we started with an angle preserving *T* and arrived at (8).

To show that (8) implies angle preservation by *T*, notice that  $\langle Ta, Tb \rangle = \lambda^2 \langle a, b \rangle$  for any two vectors  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Also, notice that  $|Ta| = \lambda |a|$  and  $|Tb| = \lambda |b|$ . This gives us A(Ta, Tb) = A(a, b), establishing that *T* preserves angles.

**1-9.** If  $0 \le \theta < \pi$ , let  $T : \mathbb{R}^2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  have the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ -\sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}$ . Show that *T* is angle preserving and if  $x \ne 0$ , then  $\angle (x, Tx) = \theta$ . *T* satisfies the hypothesis of (c) from the previous problem, with  $\{e_i\}$  equal to the standard basis,  $u_1 = [\cos \theta - \sin \theta]^T$ ,  $u_2 = [\sin \theta \cos \theta]^T$ , and  $\lambda = 1$ .

$$T(\begin{bmatrix} 1\\0 \end{bmatrix}) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta\\ -\sin\theta \end{bmatrix}$$
 and  $T(\begin{bmatrix} 0\\1 \end{bmatrix}) = \begin{bmatrix} \sin\theta\\ \cos\theta \end{bmatrix}$ .

It follows from (c) of the previous problem that *T* is angle preserving.

To show that  $\angle(x, Tx) = \theta$  for nonzero  $x = x_1e_1 + x_2e_2$ , note that  $|x|^2 = |Tx|^2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2$ , and that  $\langle x, Tx \rangle = (x_1^2 + x_2^2) \cos \theta$ . Therefore

$$\frac{\langle x, Tx \rangle}{|x||Tx|} = \cos \theta$$

From this, we get  $\angle(x, Tx) = \theta$  as desired.

**1-10.**<sup>\*</sup> If  $T : \mathbb{R}^m \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is a linear transformation, show that there is a number M such that  $|T(h)| \le M|h|$  for  $h \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . Hint: Estimate |T(h)| in terms of |h| and the entries in the matrix of T.

We know from the singular value decomposition that associated with every  $T: \mathbb{R}^m \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is an orthonormal basis  $\{u_i\}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , an orthonormal basis  $\{v_i\}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and a collection of positive real *singular values*  $\sigma_i$  such that  $Tu_i = \sigma_i v_i$ , for *i* ranging from 1 to min(m, n). The  $\sigma_i$  are the square roots of the eigenvalues of  $TT^T$ , and so come from a polynomial equation in the entries of the matrix of *T*. We can use the largest singular value  $\sigma_{max}$  as the *M* requested in the problem statement.

We use the same symbol T for the linear function and for the *matrix* of this linear function with respect to the standard basis.

The singular value decomposition is like a Ferrari. It's a beautiful machine, and it'll get you to the corner store, however so will walking or riding a bike. There's an aesthetic appeal to tackling problems in the cleanest and simplest way possible, and so we try this again. The *i*th component of *Th* is given by

$$g_i = T_{i1}h_1 + T_{i2}h_2 + \dots + T_{im}h_m.$$

We'd like to place a bound on  $\sqrt{g_1^2 + g_2^2 + ... + g_n^2}$ . A key observation is that for any collection of non-negative  $a_i$ , we have

$$(a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n)^2 \le 2n(a_1^2 + a_2^2 + \dots + a_n^2).$$
(9)

To see this, order and relabel the  $a_i$ 's so that  $a_1 \ge a_2 \ge ... \ge a_n \ge 0$ . Then write out the terms of  $(a_1 + a_2 + ... + a_n)^2$  against a grid.

 $\leq$ 

$a_{1}a_{1}$	$a_{1}a_{2}$	$a_{1}a_{3}$	 $a_1 a_n$
$a_{2}a_{1}$	a <sub>2</sub> a <sub>2</sub>	<i>a</i> <sub>2</sub> <i>a</i> <sub>3</sub>	 a <sub>2</sub> a <sub>n</sub>
$a_{3}a_{1}$	<i>a</i> <sub>3</sub> <i>a</i> <sub>2</sub>	<i>a</i> <sub>3</sub> <i>a</i> <sub>3</sub>	 a <sub>3</sub> a <sub>n</sub>
:	:	:	:
a <sub>n</sub> a <sub>1</sub>	a <sub>n</sub> a <sub>2</sub>	a <sub>n</sub> a <sub>3</sub>	 a <sub>n</sub> a <sub>n</sub>

$a_{1}a_{1}$	$a_{1}a_{1}$	$a_{1}a_{1}$	 $a_{1}a_{1}$
$a_{1}a_{1}$	<i>a</i> <sub>2</sub> <i>a</i> <sub>2</sub>	<i>a</i> <sub>2</sub> <i>a</i> <sub>2</sub>	 $a_{2}a_{2}$
$a_{1}a_{1}$	<i>a</i> <sub>2</sub> <i>a</i> <sub>2</sub>	a <sub>3</sub> a <sub>3</sub>	 <i>a</i> <sub>3</sub> <i>a</i> <sub>3</sub>
:	:	:	:
$a_{1}a_{1}$	<i>a</i> <sub>2</sub> <i>a</i> <sub>2</sub>	<i>a</i> <sub>3</sub> <i>a</i> <sub>3</sub>	 a <sub>n</sub> a <sub>n</sub>

 $\leq$ 

	$a_{1}a_{1}$	$a_1 a_1$	$a_{1}a_{1}$	 $a_{1}a_{1}$				
	$a_{1}a_{1}$	a <sub>2</sub> a <sub>2</sub>	a <sub>2</sub> a <sub>2</sub>	 <i>a</i> <sub>2</sub> <i>a</i> <sub>2</sub>	<i>a</i> <sub>2</sub> <i>a</i> <sub>2</sub>			
	$a_{1}a_{1}$	a <sub>2</sub> a <sub>2</sub>	a <sub>3</sub> a <sub>3</sub>	 <i>a</i> <sub>3</sub> <i>a</i> <sub>3</sub>	<i>a</i> <sub>3</sub> <i>a</i> <sub>3</sub>	a <sub>3</sub> a <sub>3</sub>		
	:	:						
	$a_{1}a_{1}$	a <sub>2</sub> a <sub>2</sub>	<i>a</i> <sub>3</sub> <i>a</i> <sub>3</sub>	a <sub>n</sub> a <sub>n</sub>	a <sub>n</sub> a <sub>n</sub>		a <sub>n</sub> a <sub>n</sub>	a <sub>n</sub> a <sub>n</sub>
		<i>a</i> <sub>2</sub> <i>a</i> <sub>2</sub>	<i>a</i> <sub>3</sub> <i>a</i> <sub>3</sub>	a <sub>n</sub> a <sub>n</sub>				
			<i>a</i> <sub>3</sub> <i>a</i> <sub>3</sub>	:				
is	e.			a <sub>n</sub> a <sub>n</sub>				
lc	1			a <sub>n</sub> a <sub>n</sub>				

Replace every term  $a_i a_j$  with  $a_i a_i$  if i < j, and with  $a_j a_j$  otherwise. This causes each term to stay the same or get bigger. Finally, add terms around the grid, getting a nesting of right angled arrangements of terms all with the same value. This results in 2n - 1 diagonals, like the one outlined in blue, each of which sum to  $a_1^2 + a_2^2 + ... + a_n^2$ . We use (9) because it's true, and cleaner than including the -1. If  $T_{\text{max}}$  is the largest of the absolute values of the entries of T, then

$$g_i^2 = (T_{i1}h_1 + T_{i2}h_2 + \dots + T_{im}h_m)^2$$
  

$$\leq (|T_{i1}h_1| + |T_{i2}h_2| + \dots + |T_{im}h_m|)^2$$
  

$$\leq T_{\max}^2(|h_1| + |h_2| + \dots + |h_m|)^2$$
  

$$\leq T_{\max}^2 2m(h_1^2 + h_2^2 + \dots + h_m^2)$$
  

$$= T_{\max}^2 2m|h|^2$$

Summing all *n* of the  $g_i$ 's, we see that |Th| is bounded by M|h| where

$$M=T_{\max}\sqrt{2mn}.$$

**1-11.** If  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $z, w \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , show that  $\langle (x, z), (y, w) \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle + \langle z, w \rangle$  and  $|(x, z)| = \sqrt{|x|^2 + |z|^2}$ . Note that (x, z) and (y, w) denote points in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ .

We can see that  $\langle (x,z), (y,w) \rangle = \langle x,y \rangle + \langle z,w \rangle$  by expanding  $\langle (x,z), (y,w) \rangle$  according to the definition of the inner product.

$$\langle (x,z), (y,w) \rangle = x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2 + \dots + x_n y_n$$
  
+ $z_1 w_1 + z_2 w_2 + \dots + z_m w_m$ 

The first and second rows on the right equal  $\langle x, y \rangle$  and  $\langle z, w \rangle$  respectively, again by the definition of the inner product. Using this result, observe that

$$|(x,z)| = \sqrt{\langle (x,z), (x,z) \rangle}$$
$$= \sqrt{\langle x,x \rangle + \langle z,z \rangle}$$
$$= \sqrt{|x|^2 + |z|^2}$$

**1-12.**\* Let  $(\mathbb{R}^n)^*$  denote the dual space of the vector space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . If  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , define  $\varphi_x \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^*$  by  $\varphi_x(y) = \langle x, y \rangle$ . Define  $T : \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n)^*$  by  $T(x) = \varphi_x$ . Show that T is a **1-1** linear transformation. The dual space of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is the space of linear mappings from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ . In his prescription of  $\varphi_x(y)$ , Spivak constructs such mappings from vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We need to show that this prescription is both linear and **1-1**.

The linearity of *T* follows from the linearity of the inner product used in the definition of  $\varphi_x(y)$ . If  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , then

$$arphi_{a+b}(y) = \langle a+b,y
angle \ = \langle a,y
angle + \langle b,y
angle \ = arphi_a(y) + \langle b,y
angle \ = arphi_a(y) + arphi_b(y),$$

and

$$arphi_{lpha a}(y) = \langle lpha a, y 
angle$$
  
=  $lpha \langle a, y 
angle$   
=  $lpha \varphi_a(y).$ 

For *T* to be 1-1, we need to show that for any two unequal vectors  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have  $\varphi_a(x) \neq \varphi_b(x)$  for some  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Note that

$$\varphi_a(x) - \varphi_b(x) = \langle a, x \rangle - \langle b, x \rangle = \langle a - b, x \rangle$$

Picking x = a - b causes  $\varphi_a(x) - \varphi_b(x) = |a - b|^2$ , which we know is non zero because  $a \neq b$ . (See (1) of Theorem 1-1.)

We might as well also show that *T* is onto. Let  $\varphi$  be any linear map from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\{e_i\}$  be an orthonormal basis for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and note that for any vector  $x = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i e_i$ ,

$$\varphi(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \varphi(e_i).$$

Define  $a = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varphi(e_i) e_i$ , and note that

$$\langle a, x \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \varphi(e_i).$$

It follows that  $\varphi(x) = \langle a, x \rangle$ , and so *T* is onto.

**1-13.**\* If  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , then x and y are called **perpendicular** (or **orthogonal**) if  $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$ . If x and y are perpendicular, prove that  $|x + y|^2 = |x|^2 + |y|^2$ .

We are proving the Pythagorean Theorem in arbitrary dimensions!

$$\begin{aligned} |x+y|^2 &= \langle x+y, x+y \rangle \\ &= \langle x, x \rangle + \langle y, y \rangle + 2 \langle x, y \rangle \\ &= \langle x, x \rangle + \langle y, y \rangle \\ &= |x|^2 + |y|^2 \end{aligned}$$

References

Sheldon Axler. *Linear Algebra Done Right*. Springer, 1996. ISBN 0387982582.

Michael Spivak. *Calculus on Manifolds*. Benjamin Cummings, 1965. ISBN 0846590219.